TO:			ACTION	INFØ	DATE	INITIAL	
	ĭ	DCI		V,		į	
	2	DDCI		V		,	
	3	DD/RM		,	·		
	4	DD/NFA		$\sqrt{}$			
	5	DD/CT					
	6	DD/A					
	7	DD/O					
	8	DD/S&T			·		
	9	GC	/				
	10)LC					
	11	IG					
	12	Compt	<u> </u>				
	13	D/PA					
	14	D/EE0					
	15	D/Pers		·			
	16	AO/DCI	•			والمستعدد المراجع والمستعدد والمستعدد والمستعدد والمستعدد والمستعدد	
	17	C/IPS					
	18			1,1		<u></u>	
	19						
	20			1			
	21	* .					
	22						
		SUSPENSE	DATE: 4	Oct			÷.
nark	 5:						
		n/-					
21	0 -	– Pleas	e prep C 1 Sk	are	respor	75 e	
-v /	0 -	- PRES	e prep	are	respor	75 e	

Res

STAT

CHI THIS T

EDWARD P. BOL. AND, MASAPPROVED FOR Release 2004/07/08: CIA-RDP81M00980R001200050008-60 H-405, U.S. CAPITOL CLEMENT J. ZABLOCKI, WIS. (202) 225-4121

CLEMENT J. 7ABLOCKI, WIS.
BILL D. BURLISON, MO.
MORGAN F. MURPHY, ILL.
LES ASPIN, WIS.
CHARLES ROSE, N.C.
ROMANO L. MAZZOLI, KY.
NORMAN Y. MINETA, CALIF.
WYCHE FOWLER, JR., GA.

Bob Wilson, Calif. John M. Ashbrook, Ohio Robert McClory, Ill. J. Kenneth Robinson, VA.

Thomas K. Latimer, Staff Director Michael, J. O'Neil, Chief Counsel

U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

PERMANENT SELECT COMMITTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20515

118-6452

.01C #78-53 74

September 28, 1978

Honorable Stansfield Turner Director of Central Intelligence Washington, D. C. 20505

Dear Admiral Turner:

My staff principal canceled a meeting which was to have occurred at 9:30 this morning with NFAC and DDO representatives. This became necessary because at 9:15 the CIA Office of Legislative Counsel called to say that a decision had been made to exclude staff from the meeting, and that they would brief me alone.

This restriction, imposed fifteen minutes before the scheduled meeting, is entirely inconsistent with the relationship that ought to exist between the Committee and the DCI. The following background makes that evident:

At the Subcommittee on Evaluation hearing of September 20, the witness, explained that differences between NIE 4-1-78 and earlier departmental estimates resulted from several changes in the approach to the analysis, and that "important new evidence of a sensitive nature" confirmed the new estimate of Warsaw Pact preparation time. (As you know, the Subcommittee staff had prepared a detailed critique of NIE 4-1-78, which faulted it for not clearing the air with regard to previous warning estimates.)

Referring to his assertion about the "important new evidence," I asked to share this new material that confirmed the NIE's judgments. When he responded that it was "compartmented information" and that not everyone in the room would be cleared for it, I asked that he instead provide the information to the Subcommittee. The following day-fully a week ago-the Office of Legislative Counsel and my staff arranged for a briefing on this material for 9:30 this morning.

25X1

25X1

Approved For Release 2004/07/08: CIA-RDP81M00980R001200050008-6

It is evident from the above that the sudden, seemingly arbitrary decision not to provide the information to my staff effectively prohibits the Subcommittee from fully evaluating your explanation of the NIE's judgments. Furthermore, it impedes the Subcommittee's efforts to assist the Program and Budget Subcommittee in its study of HUMINT. Most importantly, this action constitutes an unacceptable denial of the access which this Committee requires in order to carry out its responsibilities.

25X1

I would appreciate you giving this matter your attention. While I appreciate the problem of the "need to know principle," I also recognize that effective evaluation and oversight of the intelligence community cannot be performed if we are not provided access to its information.

Sincerely

Charlie Rose Chairman,

Subcommittee on Evaluation